Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,996	TURNBULL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor K. Hwang	3764
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Victor K. Hwang.	(3)	
(2) Mark D. Schneider.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed:  Porter		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization for Supplemental Examiner's Amendment to define the claimed invention around an interpretation of the prior art of Porter. Initially contacted Mr. Schneider Nov. 22, 2006 with a proposed Examiner's amendment. Approval was given December 19, 2006 for the same proposed Examiner's amendment.